

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,129	07/16/2003	Yuriy Gmirya	Yuriy Gmirya 67,008-070;S-5668 1958  EXAMINER	
26096	7590 08/18/2006	•		
	, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C	LE, DAVID D		
400 WEST N	MAPLE ROAD		ARTIBUT	DADED MUMBED
SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGH	AM, MI 48009		3681	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/621,129	GMIRYA, YURIY			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David D. Le	3681			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	ne 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
, <u> </u>	•	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-24 and 26-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-37</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-24,26,27 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 June 2006</u> is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/621,129

Art Unit: 3681

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. This is the sixth Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/621,129, filed on 16 July 2003. Claims 1, 3-24, and 26-40 are pending. Of those pending claims, claims 28-37 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected species.

#### **Documents**

- 2. The following documents have been received and filed as part of the patent application:
  - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 7/16/03
  - Information Disclosure Statement, received on 08/24/05
  - Replacement Drawings, received on 06/06/06

# **Drawings**

3. The drawings were received on 06 June 2006. These drawings are approved.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-7, 20-24, 38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3681

### Claim 1:

• Line 14 recites the limitation "a load". It is unclear whether this newly recited limitation "a load" is different from the one, which is first recited on line 8 of the claim.

### Claim 38:

• Line 1 recites "a method as recited in claim 1". Since claim 1 is directed to a split torque gearbox system, not a method claim, it appears that the mentioned recitation is inaccurate. For the purpose of applying the art rejection, examiner considers claim 38 as directed to a split torque gearbox system.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 3, 4, 12, 16-24 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 4,489,625 to White.

### Claims 1, 3, 4, 12, 16-24 and 38:

White (i.e., Fig. 8; column 4, line 8 – column 12, line 12) discloses a split-torque transmission comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/621,129

Art Unit: 3681

• A main rotor shaft (i.e., Fig. 8, element 101);

- An output gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 100);
- A first engine (i.e., Fig. 8, element 102);
- A second engine (i.e., Fig. 8, element 103);
- An input shaft (i.e., Fig. 8, element 106) driven by one of the first and second engines;

Page 4

- A face gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 115) driven by the input shaft about a face gear axis of rotation (see Fig. 8);
- A first spur gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 117, and column 5, lines 15-18) mounted for rotation about a first spur gear axis of rotation (see Fig. 8);
- A first drive gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 108) driven by the first spur gear;
- A second spur gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 117, and column 5, lines 15-18) mounted for rotation about a second spur gear axis of rotation (see Fig. 8);
- A second drive gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 108) driven by the second spur gear;
- A floating pinion gear (i.e., Fig. 8, element 116) driven by a radially unsupported pinion shaft mounted to the face gear, the floating pinion gear meshed with the first spur gear and the second spur gear, and the floating pinion gear mounted for rotation about a floating pinion axis of rotation which provides a resilient characteristic (i.e., Fig. 8; column 11, lines 26-43);
- Wherein the floating pinion gear is meshed with the first spur gear and the second spur gear (i.e., Fig. 8);

Application/Control Number: 10/621,129

Page 5

Art Unit: 3681

• Wherein the floating pinion axis of rotation, the first spur gear axis of rotation, and the second spur gear axis of rotation are located along a common line (i.e., column 11, lines 26-32);

- Wherein the floating pinion axis of rotation is displaceable off said common line to split a load between the first spur gear and the second spur gear (i.e., column 11, lines 32-37);
- Wherein the radially unsupported pinion shaft is driven through a gear mesh (i.e., Fig. 8, being the meshing of the spiral bevel gear teeth arrangement of gear elements 114 and 115) generally transverse to the floating pinion axis of rotation (i.e., Fig. 8);
- Wherein the floating pinion gear is mounted to the radially unsupported pinion shaft in a cantilever manner (i.e., Fig. 8);
- Wherein the floating pinion gear is mounted to a distal end of the radially unsupported pinion shaft (i.e., Fig. 8);
- Wherein a displacement envelope within which the floating pinion gear axis of rotation may be displaced is non-linear (i.e., column 11, lines 26-37);
- Wherein a displacement envelope within which the floating pinion gear axis of rotation may be displaced is inherently transverse to the floating pinion gear axis of rotation;
- Wherein a displacement envelope, within which the floating pinion gear axis of rotation may be displaced to split the load between the first spur gear and the second spur gear, generally includes diamond shape; and

Art Unit: 3681

• Wherein the floating pinion axis of rotation, the first spur gear axis of rotation, and the second spur gear axis of rotation are generally parallel (i.e. Fig. 8 and column 11, lines 26-37).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 5-11, 13-15, 26, 27, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,813,292 to Kish et al.

### Claims 5-11, 13-15, 26, 27, 39 and 40:

White discloses the limitations as set forth in paragraph 7 above. Regarding claims 5-11, 13-15, 26, 27, 39 and 40, White lacks:

- A first double helical gear driven by the first spur gear;
- A second double helical gear driven by the second spur gear; and
- Wherein the first double helical gear is of a smaller diameter than the first spur gear and the second double helical gear is of a smaller diameter than the second spur gear.

**Kish** (i.e., Figs. 1-2 and 6; column 1, line 50 – column 13, line 62), on the other hand, teaches a split path transmission system comprising:

- A first double helical gear (i.e., Fig. 1, element 118L Fwd or 118R Fwd) driven by the first spur gear;
- A second double helical gear (i.e., Fig. 1, element 118L Fwd or 118R Fwd) driven
   by the second spur gear; and
- Wherein the first double helical gear is of a smaller diameter than the first spur gear and the second double helical gear is of a smaller diameter than the second spur gear (i.e., Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify White such that the first and second drive gears are double helical gears and the diameter of the first and second double helical gears are smaller than the first and second spur gears, in view of Kish, in order to provide an effective equal torque splitting transmission.

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-24, 26, 27 and 38-40 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3681

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-7092. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (0700-1530).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David D. Le Examiner Art Unit 3681

Art Unit 3681

08/17/06